DATE:

December 8, 1982

TO:

Division File

FROM:

Tom Powell - Southern Region

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

RECEIVED

DEC 10 1982

E.P.A. — D.L.P.C. STATE OF ILLINOIS

SUBJECT: LPC 11909002 - Madison County - Roxana/SCA-Barton #2

Pre-Developmental Inspection

On November 24, 1982, Pat Giordano and this writer conducted the Pre-Developmental inspection at the proposed site. Richard Kogler, representing SCA Services, accompanied us during the inspection. The following report is supplied to the Permit Section for the determination whether the subject site meets the requirements for granting the Developmental Permit.

The 30 acre tract of land for the proposed landfill (formerly known as the Newton tract) has been purchased by SCA on November 15, 1982. The three (3) acre tract just northwest of the proposed site (Heiney tract) has also been recently purchased by SCA. At the present time, no one is residing in this dwelling. Only two (2) residences are being inhabited, adjacent to the site, at this time. The H. L. Brush family is residing in the permanent structure that is shown on the plan sheets bordering the two sites. Located south of the H. L. Brush home is a mobile home occupied by Charlie Madison. Mr. Madison is the caretaker of the property, on which excavation equipment owned by Don Barton Trucking and Excavation is stored. This area along with its buildings are shown on the plan sheets.

During our inspection, it was learned that these three (3) residences all have private wells, however, only the old Heiney residence and the mobile home of Charlie Madison use their wells for potable water. Mr. Madison's well is only a few yards from the proposed boundary, while the Heiney well is approximately 150 feet away. All of the other homes on Cahokia Creek Road have access to potable water supplies through an existing supply network. Considering the proximity of the wells to the northern portion of the site, it may be advisable that as a part of the water monitoring program these three (3) private wells be included, if the Operational Permit is granted. Richard Kogler of SCA stated that they would be willing to run a waterline to the Madison residence, if it were called for in the permit. It may be advisable to include this point in the granting of the Operational permit, when and if that time comes.

At this time, no developmental work has taken place at the site, i.e. monitoring wells, access roads, etc. However, it should be noted that supplemental permit #1981-86 has been issued to the Roxana/SCA-Barton site for the utilization of cover material from the proposed site. As of November 24, 1982, no cover has yet been taken from the proposed site. SCA Services has been instructed that if any cover is taken from the site, it must be done in accordance with the proposed plans for the Barton #2 site.

Considering the proposal that the site will have five (5) seperate fill areas, with each fill area being brought to the proposed final elevations before filling begins in the next area, it is advisable that each cell be certified by a licensed P.E. before any filling commences. Certification should include, as a special condition, that the entire fill area(s) elevation be shot and documentation (i.e. plan sheets) forwarded to IEPA before any refuse is deposited so that FOS will have ample time to inspect the excavation work. In fact, by scraping cover at the present site, (SCA Barton) violations have been recorded in the past for exceeding the invert elevations. This point is raised because of the vagueness of the invert levels, and by taking into account the size of the proposed site. Given a specific location anywhere on the site, it is nearly impossible to determine the exact invert elevations with the differences of invert elevations throughout. In summation, more exact controls are needed to prevent overexcavation of the site, consequently certification of each cell is the only viable method. This is a condition that FOS personnel need in order to monitor the site successfully.

Surface water runoff from the agricultural land to the west may pose a problem for the daily operation of the site. Although berms and diversion ditches are mentioned in the application, it would be wise to specifically address this problem to circumvent surface water runoff into the active area. In Fill Areas IV and V (the closest to the residential areas) surface water runoff is very likely to pose a problem for these landowners.

In conclusion, the application seems to adequately address the development and operation of the facility, however, FOS needs a lever to monitor the activity at the site. Specifically, cell certification by a licensed P.E. should be a major concern, as well as the question of the private wells in the vicinity, and the surface water runoff from and onto adjacent properties.

TEP:jlr

cc:

Southern Region Bob Mulvey Jill Withers

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY PRE-DE INSPECTION REPORT

COUNTY: MAdison	DATE: 11/04/82							
SITE NAME: ROXANA 15CA-BArton =2 TIME: From 1:30Pm To 3:30Pm								
INSPECTOR: Tom YowELL	WEATHER: 40 part closely - verywet							
REGIONAL PHONE NUMBER: 618 345-4606	- -							
SURROUNDING AREA								
E <u>Booded</u> S <u>Booded</u> Agricultural W <u>Agricultural</u>	Areas Adjacent to the Site SPARSELY populated / 1,500 ded Existing ROXANA/ Barton Landfill Agricultural							
Nearest residence or building: a. H.L. Brush residence Distance 2 140 feet from eastern bound b. Charle Madison trailer residence C. HEINEY Residence - Unoccupied owned by SCA Services 2 100 feet from site Total residences or buildings within 1 mile (if practical to do so):								
a. Number 210 b. Description wost of the homes nee located on Oshotia Ceast Rand. And have access to public unfor supplies.								
 4. Surface water: a. Closest body of surface water <u>CAhor</u> b. Types - pond, creek, etc. <u>Several</u> c. Flow directions; if applicable <u>Sou</u> d. Total number of bodies of surface water 	thword							
5. Wells - distance to and depth; if available a. Nearest public water supply parallel of b. Depth, if available c. Nearest private well Charle Modison's d. Depth, if available	Abotin Ced No. within 1 mile)							
e. cont. HE Brosh rest	ENC#							

			Distance To Site*	Road Surface **	Load Limit (Posted ***)			
	a.	State of Interstate Highways No	w/a yds.	~/p	тт			
	b.	County Highways	800 yds.	Old Alton-Eduarday PANED Concerdo	illo Rd. T			
	c.	Township Road	_50 yds.	Chip+ TAr.	T			
	d.	Other Road	yds.		T			
	e.	Bridge	yds.		T			
	f.	Describe any addition	al highways, roac	ds or bridges:				
7.	General characteristics: Rolling Agriculture (flat, rolling, pit, etc.)							
8.	YES OR NO Is the site location description correct? (if NO, explain below)							
9.	Do the plans submitted show correctly the existing site topography? (if NO, explain below)							
10.	Are all on-site surface features shown correctly? (if NO, explain below)							
11.	Has (if	No						
12.	Is any part of the site within 1-1/2 miles of a municipality?							
13.	Visibility of site to public. (Describe) Slight visibility - only 4 homes would be Able to view Operations - O Heiney @ NL Brush 3 Charlie Madison (Death							
14.	Fac	Factual comments of Inspector: - concern about proximity of wells						
	AT	At the following residences old Heiney residence, MR. Madison's						
	_A^	id Al Brush,						
15.	mile of the site: Not to higher elevation towards the west							
	<u>-50</u>	stace water our	-ON MAY b.	ecomo a problem	When openhow			
	Start Proximity of homes in the worthers por of proposed site - run off from site outo Adjust proposed * If not within & mile, indicate with N/A may be a problem							
	0+	proposed site .	runoff fro	om site outo Ad	next properties			
*	If no	ot within ¼ mile, indic	cate with N/A	laybe A floblem				

^{**} Asphalt, gravel, etc. *** In Spring of the year.

		IN REPORT		
County:	Modison		Township:	Edwardsville
Date:	11/24/82		Inspector:_	T POWELL
Site Name:	KOXAND	Profested # 2		
30 2	9 10 11 12			
	3/)	32	(-	33
		Alton-Edwardswille Rd	Cakoki, Creek	•
	(e)			4
: :				
	7	8	(9